INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR (EI) RCRIS CODE (CA750)

PERMAPOST

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name:

•	Addres EPA II		
1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?		
	\boxtimes	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.	
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or	
		If data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.	
BACKO	GROUNI	D	

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

<u>Duration / Applicability of El</u> <u>Determinations</u>

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION PERMAPOST RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 2

2.	Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?	,	
	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.		
☐ If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and re supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."			
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.		
Rationale and Reference(s):			
This site has a significant plume of groundwater contamination that requires continuous treatment to prevent off-site impacts to local groundwater resources and to surface water in nearby Rock Creek			

This site has a significant plume of groundwater contamination that requires continuous treatment to prevent off-site impacts to local groundwater resources and to surface water in nearby Rock Creek. Results from the January 28, 2000, semi-annual groundwater sampling show excesses of pentachlorophenol (ranging from non-detect (ND) to 24 mg/L), tetrachlorophenol (ranging from ND to 1.6 mg/L), and arsenic (ranging ND to 0.07 mg/L). Known or suspected hazardous substances which have been detected in soil and groundwater are presented in sections 1.3.6 and 1.4.1 of the "Level I Scoping Ecological Risk Assessment Permapost Products Company, Inc.," dated May 14, 1999.

^{1.} "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 3

3.	expecte	e migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is ed to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring and designated at the time of this determination)?
		If yes, continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
		If no, (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination". skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	\boxtimes	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Currently undergoing investigation. The May 14, 1999, Risk Assessment states that groundwater is moving in a northwesterly direction and has the potential to discharge to the offsite marshy area. Migration of groundwater also has the potential to discharge to the Valley Memorial Park Cemetery pond. It is uncertain as to whether or not the groundwater treatment system is adequately capturing the groundwater plume and containing the area of contamination. The extent and magnitude of the groundwater contamination will be assessed using a fate and transport model as part of a human health risk assessment that will be conducted in the future.

² "Existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION PERMAPOST RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 4

Ш	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Ratio	nale and Reference(s):

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 5

Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be " insignificant " (i.e., the maximum concentration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, or discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or ecosystems at these concentrations)?		
	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: (1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and (2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or ecosystem.	
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: (1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and (2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations ³ greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.	

³. As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 6

	If yes - continue after either: (1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's su
	water, sediments, and ecosystems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR (2) providing or referencing interim-assessment, appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained special including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, ecosystems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be a Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparison available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate making the EI determination.
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currunacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or ecosystems.
	If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
Ratio	nale and Reference(s):

^{4.} Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵. The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or ecosystems.

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION PERMAPOST RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 7

7. Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecolog necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remain horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater"				
		If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."		
		If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.		
	Ratio	Rationale and Reference(s):		
The groundwater pump and treatment system has been operating since March 1991. Groundwater monitoring will continue as required by the Post-Closure permit.				

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 8

8.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Unc Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on t EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).				
	YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the PERMAPOST facility, EPA ID #ORD 009041187, located at 25600 SW. Tualatin Valley Highway, Hillsboro, OR 97123 under current and reasonably expected conditions. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater." This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.				
	NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.				
\boxtimes	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.				
Com	pleted By:				
(Signa	ture)	(Date)			
Barb Puchy (Print Name)		Hazardous W (Title)	Hazardous Waste Specialist (Title)		
Supe	rvisor:				
(Signal	tura)	2/7/((Date)	01		
		,	Manager, Hazardous Waste Policy		
Anne Price (Print Name)			and Program Development (Title)		
	on Department of Environmental Quality Region or State)				
Loca	tions where References may be found:				
	DEQ - Northwest Region, 2020 SW.4	Ith Avenue, Ptld.97201 P			
Cont	act telephone and E-mail numbers:				
JERRY WILSON, NWR (Name)		(503) 229-5560 (Phone Number)	WILSON.Jerry@deq.state.or.us (E-Mail)		